

Measurement Report (Draft Version)

Cleanroom suitability tests on materials used by Fatra

Customer:

Fatra, a.s.
třída Tomáše Bati 1541
763 61 Napajedla
Czech Republic

Project Manager:

LTA Gabriela Baum
CTA Lisa Wiesner

Report No.

FA 1311-675

Index

1	Introduction and objectives	3
2	Materials tested:	4
3	Overview of results	5
4	Airborne particle emission tests on application of tribological stress	6
4.1	Procedure for particle emission tests	6
4.1.1	Cleanroom-suitable material test bench	6
4.1.2	Test parameters	9
4.1.3	Cleanroom environment	10
4.1.4	Particle measuring technique	11
4.1.5	Test procedure	11
4.2	Material samples for particle emission tests	12
4.3	Particle emission results	13
4.3.1	Differential progression of particle emission	13
4.3.2	Size distribution of the emitted particles	15
4.3.3	Classification	17
5	Riboflavintest in accordance with VDMA information leaflet	20
5.1	Test conditions	20
5.2	Linear wiping simulator	21
5.3	Parameters	21
5.4	Test procedure	22
5.5	Classification according to ISO 4628-1 and VDI 2083 Part 17	23
5.6	Results	25
5.6.1	Cleaning the previous dried contamination	26
5.7	Summary	27

1 Introduction and objectives

Fatra, a.s. is an established manufacturer of chemistry and industrial materials. The components are produced under high quality requirements and are successfully implemented in a wide range of industries.

To secure the market position of Fatra, a.s. in the sector of cleanroom technology, the aim is to identify optimization potentials for its products. The suitability of a product for use in clean areas is significantly influenced by the materials used in its manufacture.

The industrial alliance “Cleanroom Suitable Materials CSM” has developed procedures for determining the cleanroom suitability of materials. Depending on the area of implementation concerned, the behavior of materials with regard to particle emission and outgassing is taken into consideration. The tests are carried out in a standardized way in compliance with relevant national and international norms.

The results obtained provide an objective and substantiated basis for comparison and can be referred to when selecting suitable materials for specific manufacturing environments and areas of implementation. In consequence, this improves the cleanroom suitability of the respective products.

2 Materials tested:

TESTED MATERIALS	
NAME (FULL LENGTH)	NAME (FULL LENGTH)
Elektrostatik A (Dynamik A) charge number 3301 serial number 1045 manufacturing date 17.09.2013	Novoflor Extra Statik SD charge number 2800-113 serial number 1002 manufacturing date 10.09.2013

Figure 1

Overview of materials tested

3 Overview of results

TESTED MATERIALS NAME (FULL LENGTH)	PERFORMED TESTS				
	PARTICLE EMISSION	VOC OUTGASSING	CHEMICAL RESISTANCE	BIOLOGICAL RESISTANCE	RIBOFLAVIN TEST
Elektrostatik A	x				x
Novoflor Extra Statik SD	x				
PA6 Nylon	x				

Figure 2 Overview of materials tested

PARTICLE EMISSION (CSM CLASSIFICATION ACCORDING TO ISO 14644-1)				
MATERIAL PAIRING				ISO CLASS
SPECIMEN	COUNTER SPECIMEN	LUBRICANT		
Elektrostatik A	PA6 Nylon	(none)		3
Novoflor Extra Statik SD	PA6 Nylon	(none)		4

RIBOFLAVINTEST (VDMA INFORMATION LEAFLET)					
MATERIAL	SURFACE	TEST 1	TEST 2	TEST 3	OVERALL RESULT
Elektrostatik A	standard	0	0	0	excellent

Figure 3 Overview of results obtained

4 Airborne particle emission tests on application of tribological stress

4.1 Procedure for particle emission tests

4.1.1 Cleanroom-suitable material test bench

A special, cleanroom-suitable material test bench developed by Fraunhofer IPA and called Material Inspec is used for the tests. The test bench enables material pairings to be subjected to controlled tribological stress and permits the resulting particulate emissions to be measured without the influence of any cross-contamination.



Figure 4 Cleanroom-suitable material test bench "Material Inspec" developed by Fraunhofer IPA with module for ball on disk test

Tribological stress

The cleanroom-suitable material test bench “Material Inspec” enables tests to be carried out using the tribological methods known as **ball-on-disk** and **reel-on-disk** tests.

With the ball-on-disk test, a ball with a **radius r** is pressed onto the face of a disk with a **normal force F** . In the process, the disk rotates with a **frequency f** so that a **relative velocity v** results at the point of contact. The **single measurement track s** is calculated from the circumference of the circle with the radius r . The **number of revolutions N** is the number of rotations completed by the disk beneath the ball during the test.




Figure 5

Tribological stress on material pairing – principle of **ball-on-disk test**

The ball-on-disk test simulates pure dynamic friction between two materials. The point of contact is punctiform; this fact needs to be taken into consideration when assessing the resulting local force applied.

The reel-on-disk test is based on the same principle as the ball-on-disk test with one difference: instead of a ball, a reel is used as counter specimen. According to the ball-on-disk test, the reel is pressed with a defined pressure onto the surface and the tribological generated particles are detected.

All of the tests which are carried out are model tests. This means that the forces mentioned or applied are similar to but may not be exactly the same as those encountered in reality. This fact requires special consideration when interpreting the results and transferring them to real components.

4.1.1.1 Force transmission and measurement recordings

The normal force is applied using a force transmission unit. For the ball-on-disk test, dead weights are implemented. For the reel-on-disk test, steel springs are utilized because of the increased forces.

The **normal force** applied is recorded continuously during the test using a load cell based on the principle of the strain gauge.

With the ball-on-disk test, in addition to the normal force, the frictional force acting vertically downwards at the point of contact is also recorded synchronously. This enables the **progression of the friction coefficient** to be determined as the ratio between frictional force and normal force.

Particle measurement

Particulate emissions are measured directly beneath the point of contact of the material test specimen. In the case of the ball-on-disk test (punctiform contact of the test specimen), a chamfered particle probe tube is used. With the reel-on-disk test, because of the broader line-shaped contact, a cylindrical particle probe with an aperture of 35 mm in diameter is used.

The area of contact has been specially designed from an airflow point of view to ensure that the majority of particles emitted are detected.

4.1.2 Test parameters

For both, the ball-on-disk and the reel-on-disk tests, the essential test parameters affecting particulate emission include the **single measuring tracks**, the **relative velocity v** , the **normal force F** and the **number of revolutions N** . Standardized sets of stress parameters are formed using these values to facilitate the comparison of results obtained from the various tests.

SET OF PARAMETERS	s/mm	v/mm/s	F/N	N	SET OF PARAMETERS	s/mm	v/mm/s	F/N	N
A 01	70	50	1	1500	B 01	250	150	15	1500
A 02	90	50	3	1500	B 02	250	150	45	1500
A 03	110	50	5	1500	B 03	250	150	75	1500
A 04	130	100	6	1500	B 04	250	150	90	1500
A 05	150	100	8	1500	B 05	250	150	120	1500
A 06	170	100	10	1500	B 06	250	150	150	1500
A 07	200	100	11	1500	B 07	250	150	165	1500
A 08	220	100	13	1500	B 08	250	150	195	1500
A 09	240	100	15	1500	B 09	250	150	225	1500
A 10	260	150	16	1500	B 10	250	150	240	1500
A 11	280	150	18	1500	B 11	250	150	270	1500
A 12	300	150	20	1500	B 12	250	150	300	1500

Figure 6

Defined set of stress parameters; left: ball-on-disk test; right: reel-on-disk test

The amount of stress to be applied to each material pairing is decided upon individually by Fraunhofer IPA on taking into account the quantity of particles generated and the measuring range of the device used in the test.

The following table shows the degree of accuracy achieved when setting the test parameters as well as fluctuations in these parameters which are experienced during the tests.

	ACCURACY; MAXIMUM VARIATION DURING TEST	
	BALL-ON-DISK-TEST	REEL-ON-DISK-TEST
	NORMAL FORCE FN	0.01 N; +/- 3 %
SINGLE MEASURING TRACK S	0.1 mm; n.a.	0.1 mm; n.a.
RELATIVE VELOCITY V	0.5 mm/s; +/- 3 %	0.5 mm/s; +/- 3 %
NUMBER OF REVOLUTIONS N	+/- 1 %	+/- 1 %

Figure 7

Degree of accuracy achieved when setting the test parameters and fluctuations thereof during the test

4.1.3 Cleanroom environment

All tests are carried out at the Fraunhofer IPA test center for semiconductor equipment. Measurements are taken in a cleanroom fulfilling Class 1 specifications (in accordance with ISO 14644-1). A vertical, unidirectional airflow prevails in the cleanroom with a first air flow velocity of 0.45 m/s. Environmental conditions are kept constant with a room temperature of $22\text{ °C} \pm 0.5\text{ °C}$ and a relative humidity of $45\% \pm 5\%$.

In compliance with ISO 14644-1, Cleanroom "Class 1" means that only two particles the size of $0.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ may be found in a reference volume of one cubic meter in the first air (filtered air introduced into the cleanroom). In practical operation, even fewer particles are found in this class.

4.1.4 Particle measuring technique

Optical particle counters are utilized to determine particle emission during the tests.

Optical particle counters function according to the theory of scattered light. Using a sampling probe, a defined volume of air of 1 cubic foot (1 cft = 28.3 liters) is sucked in per minute and guided into a measuring chamber via a tube connected to it. The air sucked in is illuminated by a laser beam. As soon as a particle carried by the airflow is hit by a light ray, the light is scattered and recorded by photo-detectors.

The amount of impulses registered equates to the number of particles found in the volume of air; the height of the impulse gives an indication of particle size.

Depending upon the size and amount of particles generated, the following measuring device is used.

MODEL	COMPANY	PARTICLE SIZES DETECTED
LasAir II 110	PMT AG, Heimsheim	0.1 / 0.2 / 0.3 / 0.5 / 1.0 / 5.0 μm

Figure 8

Optical particle counters used to record particle emissions

The volume of air sucked in by all devices is 1 cft/min = 28.3 l/min.

In order to obtain a chronological progression of the particles emitted, particle measurements are recorded every 6 seconds.

4.1.5 Test procedure

The test specimens are **introduced** into the cleanroom before the tests are commenced. In the process, the surfaces of the test pieces are cleaned to remove any sedimented particles or filmy contamination which may be present.

Where possible, the **tribological test** is carried out using **one set of stress parameter**, taking into account the quantity of particles generated. To ensure reliability of the results, **10 repeated tests** are carried out for each set of stress parameter.

4.2 Material samples for particle emission tests

TESTED MATERIALS				LOAD
ID	SPECIMEN	COUNTER SPECIMEN	LUBRICANT	
IP Fatra 01	Elektrostatik A	PA6 Nylon	(none)	Reel-on-disk-test
IP Fatra 02	Novoflor Extra Statik SD	PA6 Nylon	(none)	Reel-on-disk-test

Figure 9

Materials for the particle emission tests

The table also includes the codes used by the industrial alliance CSM to identify material pairings.

For the material pairing IP Fatra 01 and IP Fatra 02, a floor covering founded on a 15 mm thick and a diameter of 140 mm disk are used as a specimens. A reel with a width of 60 mm and a diameter of 100 mm, made of PA6 Nylon, is used as counter specimen.

Photographs of the materials tested:




Figure 10

Materials tested – left: Elektrostatik A; right: Novoflor Extra Statik SD




Figure 11

Materials tested – PA6 Nylon

4.3 Particle emission results

4.3.1 Differential progression of particle emission

4.3.1.1 Method

Particle emission is measured every 6 seconds during the application of tribological stress. Depending upon the particle counter used, particle emission is classified into various **particle size channels**. The values measured are expressed **cumulatively**, i.e. the result for one size always includes all particles equal to or larger than the reference size for that channel. For example, the information obtained for the particle size 0.1 μm includes all particles with a diameter of 0.1 μm or larger.

Each diagram shows the progression of particle emission measured in the smallest particle size channel for the three repeated tests on application of one set of stress parameters. Where appropriate, the **scale of the y-axis** is adjusted, please note that the scale may vary from one graph to another!

4.3.1.2 IP Fatra 01: Elektrostatik A versus PA6 Nylon




Figure 12 IP Fatra 01 – progression of particle emission, particle size **0.1 μm**, set of stress parameters **B 12**

4.3.1.3 IP Fatra 02: Novoflor Extra Statik SD versus PA6 Nylon




Figure 13 IP Fatra 02– progression of particle emission, particle size **0.1 μm**, set of stress parameters **B 12**

4.3.2 Size distribution of the emitted particles

4.3.2.1 Method

From the particle emission progression data, the percentage of each particle size in relation to the total count of emitted particles is calculated. If, for example, the particle sizes 0.1 μm , 0.2 μm , 0.3 μm , 0.5 μm , 1.0 μm and 5.0 μm are recorded by the optical particle counter, the percentage of the

- Particles in the size channel 0.1 μm relates to particles with a diameter of 0.1 μm to 0.2 μm ,
- Particles in the size channel 0.2 μm relates to particles with a diameter of 0.2 μm to 0.3 μm ,
- Particles in the size channel 0.3 μm relates to particles with a diameter of 0.3 μm to 0.5 μm ,
- Particles in the size channel 0.5 μm relates to particles with a diameter of 0.5 μm to 1.0 μm ,
- Particles in the size channel 0.5 μm relates to particles with a diameter of 0.5 μm to 5.0 μm ,
- Particles in the size channel 5.0 μm relates to particles with a diameter equal to or greater than 5.0 μm .

Values are obtained from all three repeated tests. The size channel stated is dependent upon the optical particle counter used in the tests.

In order to ensure reliability of the data, only those percentages of particles are calculated where a minimum of 100 particles was observed in the smallest size channel in the course of the entire test.

The following diagrams show the particle size distribution for the material pairings and the corresponding sets of stress parameters. If data is absent in the diagram, this means that the required minimum count of 100 particles was not recorded in the smallest size channel.

4.3.2.2 IP Fatra 01: Elektrostatik A versus PA6 Nylon




Figure 14 IP Fatra 01 – required minimum count of 100 particles was not recorded

4.3.2.3 IP Fatra 02: Novoflor Extra Statik SD versus PA6 Nylon




Figure 15 IP Fatra 02 – required minimum count of 100 particles was not recorded

4.3.3 Classification

4.3.3.1 Method

In general, airborne particulate contamination is the main issue considered when assessing cleanroom suitability. The most important aspects of this are the size and concentration of airborne particles. Relevant standards state limiting values for the concentration of airborne particles in dependence upon particle size, as found in ISO 14644-1. This norm describes the quality of cleanrooms using Air Cleanliness Classes ranging from 1 to 9. The lowest class, Class 1, fulfills the highest requirements with regard to air cleanliness; the limiting value of particles permitted increases with each successive cleanroom class. Calculations can be made for limiting values of any particle size between 0.1 μm and 5.0 μm for all classes using the method for calculating permitted limiting values as described in ISO 14644-1. The norm states the maximum permitted number of particles of each size for the reference volume (in this case: 1 m^3).

The tests performed record particle emissions generated when tribological stress is applied to material pairings. The amounts of particles measured are dependent upon the material pairing concerned and the set of stress parameters applied. In order to better appreciate the differences, Fraunhofer IPA has developed a method which enables classifications to be made based on the measurement results obtained using the procedure stated in ISO 14644-1.

In accordance with the procedure laid down in ISO 14644-1 for determining the permitted particle concentration of different Air Cleanliness Classes, limiting values are ascertained for the given particle size classes taking the test conditions into consideration. The limiting value is obtained from the test volume of air (sampling time multiplied by the particle counter's constant volume flow of 28.3 l / min) and the permitted particle concentrations (particles / m^3) for the corresponding Air Cleanliness Class and particle size. A comparison of these limiting values with the total counts of emitted particles gives the classification figure for the test. The calculation method has been extended to include particles sized between 0.1 μm and 25.0 μm .

Care is to be taken when comparing the classification figures; consideration of the particle size in relation to the values and also of the set of parameters applied in the respective test.

Ten repeat measurements are carried out on each material pairing for each set of parameters. The highest value classification figure obtained applies. This figure is used in the corresponding tables and diagrams.

The following tables show the classification figures obtained for the material pairing. The availability of classification figures for the various particle sizes depends upon the resolution of the optical particle counter used.

4.3.3.2 Overview of classification results

ELEKTROSTATIK A							
LOAD LEVEL	NORMAL FORCE	DETECTED PARTICLE SIZE					
		0.1 μm	0.2 μm	0.3 μm	0.5 μm	1.0 μm	5.0 μm
B 12	300 N	2.2	2.4	2.5	2.4	2.2	1.0
CLASSIFICATION RELEVANT TO DOCUMENTS							3

Figure 16

IP Fatra 01: Elektrostatik A versus PA6 Nylon
Overview of classification value attained in accordance with ISO 14644-1

The level of particulate contamination emitted during application of tribological stress on the material pairing **Elektrostatik A versus PA6 Nylon** lies within the permissible values of the corresponding Air Cleanliness Classes **ISO Class 3** in accordance with ISO 14644-1.




Figure 17

IP Fatra 01: Elektrostatik A versus PA6 Nylon
Classification in accordance with ISO 14644-1 in dependence upon the particle size

NOVOFLOR EXTRA STATIK SD							
LOAD LEVEL	NORMAL FORCE	DETECTED PARTICLE SIZE					
		0.1 μm	0.2 μm	0.3 μm	0.5 μm	1.0 μm	5.0 μm
B 12	225 N	2.9	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.7
CLASSIFICATION RELEVANT TO DOCUMENTS							4

Figure 18

IP Fatra 02: Novoflor Extra Statik SD versus PA6 Nylon
Overview of classification value attained in accordance with ISO 14644-1

The level of particulate contamination emitted during application of tribological stress on the material pairing **Novoflor Extra Statik SD versus PA6 Nylon** lies within the permissible values of the corresponding Air Cleanliness Classes **ISO Class 4** in accordance with ISO 14644-1.




Figure 19

IP Fatra 02: Novoflor Extra versus PA6 Nylon
Classification in accordance with ISO 14644-1 in dependence upon the particle size

5 Riboflavintest in accordance with VDMA information leaflet

To assess the cleanability of the test pieces, a fluorescent contaminant was applied on the surfaces. The contamination was subsequently removed using a reproducible cleaning process. After cleaning, the success of the cleaning procedure was evaluated based on the presence of any residual contamination. The use of a fluorescent pigment enabled areas to be clearly visualized which are difficult to clean (edges, angles, depressions, etc.). Cleanability was assessed qualitatively as it is not possible to obtain quantitative data.

The test was carried out in accordance with the VDMA information leaflet "Riboflavin test for low germ or sterile process technologies".

5.1 Test conditions

A test solution composed of 0.2 g riboflavin, 1000 ml ultra-pure water and 5 g hydroxyethylcellulose was used as a contaminant in the test. The test contaminant was sprayed onto the test piece using a pump dispenser.




Figure 20

Test contamination adhering to the test piece illuminated by a handheld UV lamp

The fluorescent contamination was visualized using a handheld UV lamp with a wavelength of 366 nm and recorded with the aid of a digital camera.

5.2 Linear wiping simulator

To standardize the cleaning procedure a linear wiping simulator was used. The linear wiping simulator is a linear axis which pushes an aluminum block with a defined weight of 1 kg and defined contact pressure of $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N/mm}^2$ over the surface of the test piece.

At the aluminum block an immersed microfiber cloth can be fixed. The microfiber cloth will be pushed with a velocity of 1 m/s over the surface. The block has the size of 12 cm x 8 cm.



Figure 21 Linear wiping simulator

5.3 Parameters

Test contamination:	0.2 g riboflavin, 5 g hydroxethylcellulose in 1000 ml ultra-pure water
Drying time:	2 h
Contact pressure:	$1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N/mm}^2$
Velocity:	1 m/s
Cleaning cloth:	looped microfiber cloth 75% polyester, 25% polyamide
Wavelength:	366 nm
Number of wiping cycles:	3
Number of repetitions:	3

5.4 Test procedure




The contamination was cleaned after drying. This represents the expected worst case scenarios for flooring systems.

The test solution was sprayed uniformly onto the test surface and allowed to dry at room temperature for a period of two or three hours. A digital photo of the dried test surface was taken under UV light. The surface was then cleaned in three cycles using the linear wiping simulator, whereby a microfiber cloth was immersed completely into ultra-pure water and then wrung out.

The microfiber cloth was then folded once, wrapped around the aluminum block of the linear wiping simulator and pushed over the test surface with a contact pressure of $1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ N/mm}^2$ and at a velocity of 1 m/s. The cycle was repeated two more times. The residual contamination was then evaluated under the UV lamp and documented by way of a digital photo.

5.5 Classification according to ISO 4628-1 and VDI 2083 Part 17

Rating of the cleaning efficacies of the test surfaces is done visually by assessment of the amount of fluorescent residues according to ISO 4628-1 and -2. According to VDI 2083 Part 17, the cleaning efficacy can be classified as follows:

Rating of cleaning efficacy according to ISO 4628-1	Visual assessment according to ISO 4628-1	Classification according to VDI 2083 part 17	Reference pictures according to ISO 4628-2
0	No residues visible at all	Excellent	
1	Very few, small, barely significant residues or number of residue spots	Very good	
2	Few, small, but significant residues or number of residue spots	Good	
3	Moderate residues or number of residue spots	weak	



4	Considerable residues or number of residue spots	Very weak	
5	Dense pattern of residues or residue spots	none	

Figure 22

Classification of the cleaning efficacies

5.6 Results

The contamination applied is fluorescent yellow in color and clearly visible. Other reflections on the pictures belong to the UV-lamp or other fluorescent particles for example dust particles. The results of the test are depending on the visual assessment. Sometimes it is not possible to discern the various reflections on the digital pictures therefore the visual assessment is the essential factor.

All surfaces are hydrophobic, making it impossible to apply a closed film of contamination. The hydrophobic surfaces made it much easier to remove the test contamination.

The cleaned area of the test surface has a width of about 12 cm.




Figure 23

Cleaned area

5.6.1 Cleaning the previous dried contamination







DIGITAL PICTURES UNDER UV-LIGHT	
BEFORE CLEANING	AFTER THREE CLEANING-CYCLES
	
SAMPLE 1	0; EXCELLENT
	
SAMPLE 2	0; EXCELLENT
	
SAMPLE 3	0; EXCELLENT
RESULT	0; EXCELLENT

Figure 24

Results for Elektrostatik A

5.7 Summary

The following table summarizes the results:

TEST PIECE	DRIED UP
ELEKTROSTATIK A	0 ; EXCELLENT